

| | | | |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Notice of Allowability | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/451,506 | GLASS, GRAHAM W. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Adnan M Mirza | 2145 | |

-- **The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--**

All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this application. If not included herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) or other appropriate communication will be mailed in due course. **THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS.** This application is subject to withdrawal from issue at the initiative of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 and MPEP 1308.

1. This communication is responsive to 07/16/2004.
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 1-14.
3. The drawings filed on 30 November 1999 are accepted by the Examiner.
4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All
 - b) Some*
 - c) None
 of the:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* Certified copies not received: _____.

Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of this communication to file a reply complying with the requirements noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of this application.
THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.

5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitted. Note the attached EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives reason(s) why the oath or declaration is deficient.
6. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must be submitted.
 - (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) attached
 - 1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date _____.
 - (b) including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amendment / Comment or in the Office action of Paper No./Mail Date _____.
- Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the header according to 37 CFR 1.121(d).
7. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL.

Attachment(s)

1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08),
Paper No./Mail Date _____
4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit
of Biological Material
5. Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6. Interview Summary (PTO-413),
Paper No./Mail Date _____
7. Examiner's Amendment/Comment
8. Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance
9. Other _____.

Reasons for Allowance

1. Claims 1-14 will be allowed.
2. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance.

The prior art references most closely resembling the applicants claimed invention are Apte (U.S. 6,269,373), McQuistan (U.S. 6,321,275) and Chang (U.S. 6,282,580).

First, Apte disclosed a technique for providing communications between different implementations of object request brokers. However Apte fails to suggest or disclose "the generation on the client system a class with a type code and a communication protocol without generating a stub or skeleton associated with common Object Request Broker Architecture (CORBA) compliant object request brokers". These limitations are incorporated into all of the independent claims (claims 1, 12).

Second McQuistan disclosed remote procedure calls utilizing a translation mechanism to marshal code. However McQuistan fails to disclose "the generation on the client system a class with a type code and a communication protocol without generating a stub or skeleton associated with common Object Request Broker Architecture (CORBA) compliant object request brokers". These limitations are incorporated into all of the independent claims (claims 1, 12).

Third Chang disclosed a system for providing communications between different implementations of object request broker. However Chang did not disclose "the generation on the client system a class with a type code and a communication protocol without generating a stub or skeleton associated with common Object Request Broker Architecture (CORBA) compliant object request brokers". These limitations are incorporated into all of the independent claims (claims 1, 12).

In summary, the Examiner submits that there is no motivation to combine the aforementioned references; therefore, claims 1-14 have been deemed allowable over the prior art.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance".



VALENCIA MARTIN-WALLACE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2700